# HOKUGA 北海学園学術情報リポジトリ

タイトル	On Some Singular Integral Operators Which are One to One Mappings on the Weighted Lebesgue- Hilbert Spaces
著者	YAMAMOTO, Takanori
引用	北海学園大学学園論集(171): 11-24
発行日	2017-03-25

## On Some Singular Integral Operators Which are One to One Mappings on the Weighted Lebesgue-Hilbert Spaces

### Takanori YAMAMOTO

Dedicated to Professor Takahiko Nakazi on the occasion of his 70th birthday

#### **ABSTRACT**

Let  $\phi$  be a bounded measurable function on the unit circle. Then we shall give the form of a weight W for which the singular integral operator  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is left invertible in the weighted space  $L^2(W)$ .  $P_+$  is an analytic projection,  $P_-$  is a co-analytic projection. When W is an  $(A_2)$  weight,  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is left invertible (resp. invertible) in  $L^2(W)$  if and only if Toeplitz operator  $T_{\phi}$  is left invertible (resp. invertible) in  $H^2(W)$ .

KEYWORDS: Singular integral operator, Riesz projection, Hardy space

MSC (2010): Primary 46J15, 47B35.

#### § 1. INTRODUCTION.

Let m denote the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit circle  $\mathbf{T} = \{\xi; |\xi| = 1\}$  and let  $\chi$  denote the identity function on  $\mathbf{T}$ . For a function f in  $L^1(m)$ , its k-th Fourier coefficient  $\hat{f}(k)$  is defined by

$$\hat{f}(k) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} \chi^{-k} f \, dm$$

for all integers k. For a function f in  $L^1(m)$ , its harmonic conjugate function  $\tilde{f}$  is defined by the singular integral

$$\tilde{f}(\theta) = VP \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(\theta - t) \cot \frac{t}{2} dm(t).$$

Let  $C(\mathbf{T})$  be an algebra of all continuous functions f on  $\mathbf{T}$ , and let A be a disc algebra of all functions f in  $C(\mathbf{T})$  such that  $\hat{f}(k) = 0$  for all negative integers k. The Hardy spaces  $H^p$ ,  $0 , are defined as follows. For <math>0 , <math>H^p$  is the  $L^p(m)$ -closure of A, while  $H^\infty$  is defined to be the

weak-\* closure of A in  $L^{\infty}(m)$ . If an f in  $H^{\rho}$  has the form  $f = \exp(u + i\tilde{u} + ic)$  a.e. for some function u in  $L^1_R(m)$  and some real constant c, then f is called an outer function. Let  $A_0$  be the subspace of all functions f in A which satisfy  $\hat{f}(0) = 0$ , and let  $\overline{A}_0$  be the subspace of all complex conjugate functions of functions in  $A_0$ . Since the intersection of  $H^1$  and  $\overline{H}_0^1$  is only the zero function, the analytic projection  $P_+$  is defined as

$$P_{+}(f_{1}+f_{2})=f_{1}$$
, for all  $f_{1}$  in  $H^{1}$  and all  $f_{2}$  in  $\bar{H}_{0}^{1}$ .

The co-analytic projection  $P_-$  is defined by  $P_- = I - P_+$  where I is an identity operator on  $H^1 + \bar{H}_0^1$ . Then

$$P_{\pm}f = \frac{1}{2} \{ f \pm i\tilde{f} \pm \hat{f}(0) \}, \text{ for all } f \text{ in } A + \overline{A}_{0}.$$

For a  $\phi$  in  $L^{\infty}(m)$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_{\phi}$  is defined as a map from  $H^2$  to  $H^2$  by

$$T_{\phi}f = P_{+}(\phi f)$$
, for all  $f$  in  $H^{2}$ .

A non-negative integrable function W on  $\mathbf{T}$  is said to be a weight.  $P_+$  is bounded on  $L^p(W)$  if and only if W satisfies the  $A_p$ -condition (cf.[6], p.254).  $(A_p)$  denotes the set of all positive weights W satisfying the  $A_p$ -condition. In the case p=2, Helson-Szegö theorem gives the form of a weight W in  $(A_2)$  (cf.[6], p.147 and [7]). If W is in  $(A_2)$ , then  $T_{\phi}$  is bounded in  $H^2(W)$  and  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is bounded in  $L^2(W)$ . A weight W does not necessarily belong to  $(A_2)$  when those operators are bounded. In this paper we shall give the form of a weight W such that  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is bounded and left invertible in  $L^2(W)$ . It should be mentioned that W is in  $(A_2)$  if and only if there exist a function k in  $H^1$  and a constant  $\rho$ ,  $\rho < 1$  such that  $|W - k| \le \rho W$  a.e.. If W is in  $(A_2)$ , then  $\log W$  is in  $\mathrm{BMO} = L_R^\infty(m) + \widetilde{L}_R^\infty(m)$ .

**Definition.** (1) For a function  $\lambda$  in  $L^{\infty}(m)$ ,

$$A(\lambda) = \{s \in BMO ; \lambda = |\lambda| \exp(is) \ a.e.\},$$
  
 $A = \{\lambda \in L^{\infty}(m) ; |\lambda| \exp(\hat{s}) \text{ is bounded for some } s \text{ in } A(\lambda)\}.$ 

(2) For a function  $\phi$  in  $L^{\infty}(m)$ , we shall wright

$$E(\phi) = \{ \zeta \in \mathbf{T} ; \phi(\zeta) \neq 1 \} \text{ and } m(E(\phi)) = \int_{E} dm = \int_{E} \frac{dt}{2\pi}.$$

 $I(\phi, +), I(\phi, -)$  denote intervals such that

$$I(\phi, +) = [\max\{1, \|\phi\|_{\infty}\}, \infty),$$
  
$$I(\phi, -) = \{0, \min\{1, \text{ ess inf } |\phi|\}\} \text{ and put}$$

$$I(\phi) = I(\phi, +) \bigcup I(\phi, -),$$
  

$$I(\phi) = \{t \in I(\phi) : t^2 - \phi \text{ belongs to } \Lambda\}.$$

(3) For a function  $\phi$  in  $L^{\infty}(m)$  and a constant t in  $I(\phi)$  satisfying  $m\{\phi=t^2\}=0$ , put

$$r(t,\phi) = \left| \frac{(\phi - 1)t}{t^2 - \phi} \right|,$$

and for a function v satisfying  $|v| \le \cos^{-1} r(t, \phi)$  a.e., put

$$U(t, \phi, v) = \cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{\cos v}{r(t, \phi)}\right).$$

In this paper we shall assume  $-\pi \le \operatorname{Arg} z < \pi$ . For any  $\phi$  in  $L^{\infty}(m)$ ,  $0 \le m(E(\phi)) \le 1$ . If  $|\phi| = 1$  a.e., then  $I(\phi) = (0, \infty)$ . For any  $\lambda$  in  $L^{\infty}(m)$ ,  $\operatorname{Arg} \lambda$  belongs to a set  $A(\lambda)$ .  $\Lambda \cdot \Lambda = \Lambda$  and  $\Lambda$  contains a set  $A(\lambda)$  and  $A(\lambda)$  belongs to  $A(\lambda)$  if and only if there exist two functions  $A(\lambda)$  is useful to study the boundedness and the left invertibility of  $A(\lambda)$  in  $A(\lambda)$  is useful to study the boundedness and the left invertibility of  $A(\lambda)$  in  $A(\lambda)$  in  $A(\lambda)$  is useful to study the boundedness and the left invertibility of  $A(\lambda)$  in  $A(\lambda)$  in  $A(\lambda)$  is useful to study the boundedness and the left invertibility of  $A(\lambda)$  in  $A(\lambda$ 

**Lemma A.** Suppose  $\phi$  is a function in  $L^{\infty}(m)$  such that  $m(E(\phi)) > 0$ . Suppose t is a constant in  $J(\phi)$  such that  $m\{\phi = t^2\} = 0$ . Then  $r(t, \phi) \le 1$  a.e.. For a weight W such that  $\log W$  is integrable, the following conditions are equivalent.

(i) There exists a function k in  $H^1$  such that

$$|(t^2-\phi)W-k| \le \{1-r(t,\phi)^2\}^{1/2} |t^2-\phi|W \text{ a.e.}.$$

(ii) There exist three functions u, v, s, and a constant c such that

$$|v| \le \cos^{-1} r(t, \phi)$$
 a.e., and  $m\{|v| = \pi/2\} = 0$ ;

 $|u| \le U(t, \phi, v)$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)$ , and  $-\log(2\cos v) \le u$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)^c$ ;

s is in 
$$A(t^2 - \phi)$$
, and  $W = \left(\chi_{E(\phi)^c} \frac{t}{|t^2 - 1|} + \chi_{E(\phi)} \frac{1}{|\phi - 1|}\right) \exp(u - \tilde{v} - \tilde{s} - c)$  a.e..

If  $m(E(\phi)^c) > 0$  then  $t \neq 1$ . If W satisfies one of these conditions, then  $W^{-1}$  is integrable.

For a given function  $\phi$  in  $L^{\infty}(m)$ , the form of a weight W such that  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is bounded in  $L^2(W)$  was given in our preceding paper [14]. The proof of Lemma A is similar to it. In § 2, we shall give the proof. It is known that  $T_{\phi}$  is left invertible (resp. invertible) in  $H^2$  if and only if  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is left invertible (resp. invertible) in  $L^2(m)$  (cf.[10], p.71 and [15], p.393). Left invertibilities of singular integral operators  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  and Toeplitz operators  $T_{\phi}$  in weighted spaces were never been studied. In § 3, we shall give the form of a weight W such that  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  (resp.  $T_{\phi}$ ) is bounded

and left invertible in  $L^2(W)$  (resp.  $H^2(W)$ ). A central role is played by the Cotlar-Sadosky lifting theorem and Lemma A. The invertibility of  $T_{\phi}$  in weighted spaces was already studied by Rochberg [16]. In § 4, we shall consider the invertibility of  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  and  $T_{\phi}$  in weighted spaces. For a function f in  $L^2(W)$ , the  $L^2(W)$  norm of f is denoted by  $\|f\|_W = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f|^2 \ W dm \right\}^{1/2}$ .

#### § 2. PROOF OF LEMMA A.

We shall show that (i) implies (ii). Suppose k=0 in (i), then by the calculation we have  $\phi=1$  a.e. which contradicts to  $m(E(\phi))>0$ . Hence we have  $k\neq 0$ . Since t is in  $I(\phi)$ , we have

$$|t^2-\phi|^2-|\phi-1|^2t^2=(t^2-1)(t^2-|\phi|^2)\geq 0$$
 a.e.

Hence  $r(t,\phi) \le 1$  a.e. and  $|k| \le 2W|t^2 - \phi|$  a.e.. Suppose  $m(E(\phi)^c) > 0$  and t = 1 in (i), then k = 0 a.e. on  $E(\phi)^c$  and hence k = 0 a.e.. This contradiction implies that if  $m(E(\phi)^c) > 0$  then  $t \ne 1$ . Since t is in  $J(\phi)$ ,  $t^2 - \phi$  belongs to  $\Delta$ . Hence there exists a function s in  $L_R^\infty(m)$  such that  $t^2 - \phi = |t^2 - \phi| \exp(is)$  a.e. and  $|t^2 - \phi| \exp(\tilde{s})$  is bounded. Put  $g = k \exp(\tilde{s} - is)$ , then  $|g| \le 2W|t^2 - \phi| \exp(\tilde{s})$  a.e.. Hence g is a non-zero function in  $H^1$ . Put  $v = \operatorname{Arg} g$ , then  $|v| \le \cos^{-1} r(t, \phi)$  a.e. since

$$v = \operatorname{Arg}\left(\frac{k}{t^2 - \phi}\right)$$
 a.e., and  $\left|W - \frac{k}{t^2 - \phi}\right| \leq \left\{1 - r(t, \phi)^2\right\}^{1/2} W$  a.e..

Since g is an outer function such that Re  $g \ge 0$  a.e. and

$$\frac{\exp(iv-\tilde{v})}{|\exp(iv-\tilde{v})|} = \frac{g}{|g|} \text{ a.e.,}$$

there exists a positive constant  $\gamma$  such that  $\exp(iv-\tilde{v})=\gamma g$  a.e. (cf.[11], Proposition 5). Put

$$u = \tilde{v} + \tilde{s} + \log W + \log t + \log \gamma + \chi_{E(\phi)} \log |\phi - 1| + \chi_{E(\phi)} \log |t - t^{-1}|,$$

then

$$W = \left(\chi_{E(\phi)^c} \frac{t}{|t^2 - 1|} + \chi_{E(\phi)} \frac{1}{|\phi - 1|}\right) \exp\left(u - \tilde{v} - \tilde{s} - c\right) \text{ a.e..}$$

Since  $|1-r(t,\phi)\exp(iv-u)|^2 \le 1-r(t,\phi)^2$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)$ , we have

$$e^{2u} - 2\left(\frac{\cos v}{r(t,\phi)}\right)e^{u} + 1 \le 0$$
 a.e. on  $E(\phi)$ ,

and hence  $|u| \le U(t, \phi, v)$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)$ . Since

$$\left|\frac{k}{t^2-\phi}\right|^2 \le 2W \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{k}{t^2-\phi}\right)$$
 a.e.,

we have

On Some Singular Integral Operators Which are One to One Mappings on the Weighted Lebesgue-Hilbert Spaces (Takanori Yamamoto)

$$\left| \frac{k}{t^2 - \phi} \right| \le 2W \cos v$$
 a.e..

Hence  $W^{-1} \le 2\gamma |t^2 - \phi| \exp(\tilde{v} + \tilde{s}) \cos v$  a.e.. Since  $|v| \le \pi/2$  a.e.,  $\exp(\tilde{v}) \cos v$  is integrable (cf.[6], p. 161). Since t is in  $J(\phi)$ ,  $W^{-1}$  is integrable. Since  $(\cos v)^{-p}$  is integrable for some p, p > 0, we have  $m\{|v| = \pi/2\} = 0$ . Since

$$2\gamma W|t^2-1|\exp(\tilde{v}+\tilde{s})\cos v\ge 1$$
 a.e. on  $E(\phi)^c$ ,

we have  $-\log(2\cos v) \le u$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)^c$ . We shall show that (ii) implies (i). Since  $|u| \le U(t, \phi, v)$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)$ , we have

$$|1-r(t,\phi)\exp(iv-u)|^2-\{1-r(t,\phi)^2\}=r(t,\phi)^2\Big\{e^{-2u}-2\Big(\frac{\cos v}{r(t,\phi)}\Big)e^{-u}+1\Big\}\leq 0 \text{ a.e. on } E(\phi).$$

Put  $k = t \exp\{i(v+s) - (v+s)^{\sim} - c\}$ , then

$$|(t^2-\phi)W-k|=|1-r(t,\phi)\exp(iv-u)|\cdot|t^2-\phi|W \le (1-r(t,\phi)^2)^{1/2}|t^2-\phi|W$$
 a.e. on  $E(\phi)$ .

Since  $-\log(2\cos v) \le u$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)^c$ , we have  $|1-\exp(iv-u)| \le 1$  a.e. on  $E(\phi)^c$ . Hence

$$|(t^2-1)W-k|=|t^2-1|\cdot|1-\exp(iv-u)|W\leq |t^2-1|W$$
 a.e. on  $E(\phi)^c$ .

Since  $|k| \le 2|t^2 - \phi|W$  a.e., k is in  $H^1$ . Hence (i) follows. This completes the proof.

If  $\chi_{E(\phi)}\log|\phi-1|$  is integrable, then it is possible to take an integrable function u in condition (ii). If  $r(t,\phi)$  is bounded away from zero, then it is possible to take a bounded function u in (ii).

#### § 3. LEFT INVERTIBILITY.

We shall give the form of a weight W such that  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is bounded and left invertible in  $L^2(W)$ . If W is in  $(A_2)$ , then  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is left invertible in  $L^2(W)$  if and only if  $T_{\phi}$  is left invertible in  $H^2(W)$ .

**Definition.** For a t in  $I(\phi)$  and a  $\phi$  in  $L^{\infty}(m)$ , let

$$L(t,\phi) = \{\ell = u - \tilde{v} - \tilde{s} - c; \\ |v| \le \cos^{-1}r(t,\phi) \text{ a.e., } m\{v = \pi/2\} = 0. \\ |u| \le U(t,\phi,v) \text{ a.e. on } E(\phi), \text{ and } -\log(2\cos v) \le u \text{ a.e. on } E(\phi)^c. \\ s \in A(t^2 - \phi), \text{ and } c \text{ is a real constant.} \}.$$

If  $r(t, \phi)$  is bounded away from zero, then  $L(t, \phi)$  is a convex subset of BMO.

Theorem 1. Suppose  $\phi$  is a function in  $L^{\infty}(m)$  such that  $m(E(\phi)) > 0$ . Suppose  $\varepsilon$  is a positive constant such that both  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon^{-1}$  belong to  $J(\phi)$ . For a weight W such that  $\log W$  is integrable, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\varepsilon \|f\|_{W} \le \|(\phi P_{+} + P_{-})f\|_{W} \le \varepsilon^{-1} \|f\|_{W}$ , for all f in  $A + \overline{A}_{0}$ .
- (ii)  $\varepsilon \leq 1$ ,  $\varepsilon \leq |\phi| \leq \varepsilon^{-1}$  a.e.,  $m\{\phi = \varepsilon^2\} = m\{\phi = \varepsilon^{-2}\} = 0$  and there exists an  $\ell$  in  $L(\varepsilon, \phi) \cap L(\varepsilon^{-1}, \phi)$  such that

$$W = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\varepsilon^2 - 1|} \chi_{E(\phi)}^c + \frac{1}{|\phi - 1|} \chi_{E(\phi)}\right) \exp \ell \ a.e..$$

If  $m(E(\phi)^c) > 0$  then  $\varepsilon \neq 1$ . If W satisfies one of these conditions, then  $W^{-1}$  is integrable.

**Proof.** By Cotlar-Sadosky's theorem [4], if follows from (i) that there exist two functions k, k' in  $H^1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\varepsilon^2 - \phi) W - k|^2 \leq (\varepsilon^2 - 1)(\varepsilon^2 - |\phi|^2) W^2 \text{ a.e.,} \\ &|(\varepsilon^{-2} - \phi) W - k'|^2 \leq (\varepsilon^{-2} - 1)(\varepsilon^{-2} - |\phi|^2) W^2 \text{ a.e..} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $m(E(\phi)) > 0$ , it follows that k and k' are non-zero functions. Suppose  $m\{\phi = \varepsilon^2\} > 0$ , then  $m\{k=0\} > 0$ . Since k is in  $H^1$ , we have k=0 a.e. (cf.[8], p.76). This contradiction implies  $m\{\phi = \varepsilon^2\} = 0$ . In the same way we have  $m\{\phi = \varepsilon^{-2}\} = 0$ . Then

$$(\varepsilon^{\pm 2} - 1)(\varepsilon^{\pm 2} - |\phi|^2) = \{1 - r(\varepsilon^{\pm 1}, \phi)^2\} |t^2 - \phi|^2$$
 a.e..

We use Lemma A to complete the proof.

**Remark 1.** For a function  $\phi$  such that  $|\phi|=1$  a.e., we have  $r(\varepsilon,\phi)=r(\varepsilon^{-1},\phi)$  a.e. and hence  $U(\varepsilon,\phi,v)=U(\varepsilon^{-1},\phi,v)$  a.e.. In this case the condition (ii) in the above theorem becomes as follows. (ii) There exist three functions u,v,s and a constant c such that

$$W = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\varepsilon^2 - 1|} \chi_{E(\phi)^c} + \frac{1}{|\phi - 1|} \chi_{E(\phi)}\right) \exp(u - \tilde{v} - \tilde{s} - c) \text{ a.e.,}$$

where  $|v| \le \cos^{-1} r(\varepsilon, \phi)$  a.e.,  $m\{|v| = \pi/2\} = 0$ ;

$$|u| \le U(\varepsilon, \phi, v)$$
 a.e. on  $E(\phi)$ , and

$$-\log(2\cos v) \le u$$
 a.e. on  $E(\phi)^c$ :  $s \in A(\varepsilon^2 - \phi) \cap A(\varepsilon^{-2} - \phi)$ .

It should be mentioned that if  $\phi = -1$  a.e., then the condition (*ii*)' becomes the Arocena, Cotlar and Sadosky's condition (cf.[1], [3] and [4]). In this case  $\phi P_+ + P_- = -P_+ + P_-$  is invertible if and only

On Some Singular Integral Operators Which are One to One Mappings on the Weighted Lebesgue-Hilbert Spaces (Takanori Yamamoto)

if it is bounded. Then  $E(-1)=\mathbf{T}$ ,  $r(\varepsilon,-1)=r(\varepsilon^{-1},-1)=2\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon^2)$  a.e., and  $A(\varepsilon^2+1)\cap A(\varepsilon^{-2}+1)$  contains a function s=0.

Corollary 1. Suppose  $\phi$  is a function in  $L^{\infty}(m)$  such that  $|\phi-1|>0$  a.e. and  $J(\phi)$  contains a constant 1. For a weight W such that  $\log W$  is integrable, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is an isometry in  $L^2(W)$ .
- (ii)  $|\phi|=1$  a.e., and there exist an s in  $A(1-\phi)$  and a positive constant C such that

$$W = \frac{C}{|\phi - 1|} \exp(-\tilde{s})$$
 a.e..

If W satisfies one of these conditions, then  $W^{-1}$  is bounded.

**Proof.** It follows from (i) that

$$\|(\phi P_+ + P_-)f\|_{W} = \|f\|_{W}$$
, for all  $f$  in  $A + \overline{A}_0$ .

This is the case  $\varepsilon = 1$  in Theorem 1. Hence,  $|\phi| = 1$  a.e. and there exists an  $\ell$  in  $L(1, \phi)$  such that  $W = |\phi - 1|^{-1} \exp \ell$  a.e.. Since  $r(1, \phi) = 1$  a.e., we have

$$L(1, \phi) = \{-\tilde{s} - c; s \in A(1 - \phi), \text{ and } c \text{ is a real constant}\}.$$

Since  $J(\phi)$  contains 1,  $|1-\phi|\exp(\tilde{s})$  is bounded for some s in  $A(1-\phi)$  and hence  $W^{-1}$  is bounded. We use Theorem 1 to complete the proof.

**Definition.** For a function  $\phi$  in  $L^{\infty}(m)$ , let  $L(\phi, +)$ ,  $L(\phi, -)$  and  $L(\phi)$  denote subsets of real measurable functions such that

$$L(\phi, \pm) = \bigcup_{t \in I(\phi, \pm)} L(t, \phi)$$
 and  $L(\phi) = L(\phi, +) \cap L(\phi, -)$ .

**Theorem 2.** Suppose  $\phi$  is a function in  $L^{\infty}(m)$  such that  $|\phi-1|>0$  a.e.. Suppose there exists a positive constant  $\delta$  such that  $(0, \delta] \cup [\delta^{-1}, \infty)$  is a subset of  $J(\phi)$ . For a weight W such that  $\log W$  is integrable, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is bounded and left invertible in  $L^2(W)$ .
- (ii)  $\phi$  is bounded away from zero and there exists a function  $\ell$  in  $L(\phi)$  such that  $W = |\phi 1|^{-1} \exp \ell$  a.e..

If W satisfies one of these conditions, then  $W^{-1}$  is integrable.

**Proof.** We shall show that (i) implies (ii). By (i), there exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon$  such that both  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon^{-1}$  belong to  $J(\phi)$  and

$$\varepsilon \|f\|_{W} \le \|(\phi P_{+} + P_{-})f\|_{W} \le \varepsilon^{-1} \|f\|_{W}$$
, for all  $f$  in  $A + \overline{A}_{0}$ .

By Theorem 1, there exists an  $\ell$  in  $L(\varepsilon,\phi) \cap L(\varepsilon^{-1},\phi)$  such that  $W = |\phi-1|^{-1} \exp \ell$  a.e.. Since  $L(\varepsilon,\phi) \cap L(\varepsilon^{-1},\phi)$  is a subset of  $L(\phi)$ , (ii) follows. The converse is also true. This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.** Suppose  $|\phi-1|>0$  a.e.. Let t and t' be positive constants satisfying t< t'. If  $J(\phi)=I(\phi)$ , then the following statements are true.

- (1) If t and t' belong to  $I(\phi, +)$ , then  $L(t, \phi)$  is a subset of  $L(t', \phi)$  and  $r(t', \phi) \le r(t, \phi)$  a.e..
- (2) If t and t' belong to  $I(\phi, -)$ , then  $L(t', \phi)$  is a subset of  $L(t, \phi)$  and  $r(t, \phi) \le r(t', \phi)$  a.e..

**Proof.** Put  $r = r(t, \phi)$  and  $r' = r(t', \phi)$ , then

$$r'^{2}-r^{2}=\frac{(t'^{2}-t^{2})(|\phi|^{2}-t'^{2}t^{2})}{|t'^{2}-\phi|^{2}|t^{2}-\phi|^{2}} \ a.e..$$

We shall prove (1). Since t and t' belong to  $I(\phi, +)$ , we have  $r' \le r$  a.e.. Let  $\ell$  be in  $L(t, \phi)$  and put  $W = |\phi - 1|^{-1} \exp \ell$ , then it follows from Lemma A that there exists a k in  $H^1$  such that

$$|(t^2-\phi)W-k|^2 \le (t^2-1)(t^2-|\phi|^2)W^2$$
 a.e..

By Cotlar-Sadosky's theorem [4].

$$\|(\phi P_+ + P_-)f\|_W \le t \|f\|_W \le t' \|f\|_W$$

for all f in  $A + \overline{A}_0$ . By Cotlar-Sadosky's theorem, there exists a k' in  $H^1$  such that

$$|(t'^2 - \phi)W - k'|^2 \le (t'^2 - 1)(t'^2 - |\phi|^2)W^2$$
 a.e.

By Lemma A, there exists an  $\ell'$  in  $L(t', \phi)$  such that  $W = |\phi - 1|^{-1} \exp \ell'$  a.e. and hence  $\ell = \ell'$  a.e. Thus  $L(t, \phi)$  is a subset of  $L(t', \phi)$ . The proof of (2) is similar to one of (1). This completes the proof.

**Proposition 4.** If  $J(\phi) = I(\phi)$  and  $r(t, \phi)$  is bounded away from zero for all t in  $I(\phi)$ , then  $L(\phi, +), L(\phi, -)$  and  $L(\phi)$  are convex subsets of BMO.

**Proof.** Let  $\ell$  and  $\ell'$  be in  $L(\phi, +)$ . There exist t and t' in  $I(\phi, +)$  such that  $\ell$  is in  $L(t, \phi)$  and  $\ell'$  is in  $L(t', \phi)$ . Since  $r(t, \phi)$  is bounded away from zero, we have  $|\phi-1|>0$  a.e. and  $U(t, \phi, v)$  is in  $L_R^\infty(m)$ . Since  $|\phi-1|>0$  a.e. and  $J(\phi)=I(\phi)$ , it follows from Proposition 3 that the convex combination of  $\ell$  and  $\ell'$  belongs to either  $L(t, \phi)$  or  $L(t', \phi)$  which is a convex subset of  $L(\phi, +)$ . Hence  $L(\phi, +)$  is a convex subset of BMO. It follows in the similar way that  $L(\phi, -)$  is convex and

hence  $L(\phi)$  is also convex.

**Proposition 5.** (1) If  $\phi$  is an outer function in  $H^{\infty}$ , then  $J(\phi) \cup \{1\} = I(\phi)$ .

(2) If  $\phi$  is a function in  $L_R^{\infty}(m)$  such that (ess inf  $\phi$ , ess sup  $\phi$ ) does not contain zero, then  $J(\phi) = I(\phi)$ .

Proof. We shall prove (1). Let t be any constant in  $I(\phi,+)$  not equal to one. Put  $\lambda = t^2 - \phi$ , then  $\lambda$  is an invertible function in  $H^\infty$  since  $|\lambda| \ge t^2 - \operatorname{Re} \phi \ge t^2 - \max\{t,1\} > 0$  a.e.. Hence there exist a function f and a constant c such that  $\lambda = \exp(f + i\bar{f} + ic)$  a.e.. Put  $s = \bar{f} + c$ , then s is in  $A(\lambda)$  since  $|\lambda| \exp(\bar{s}) = c'$  for some constant c'. Thus  $I(\phi,+)$  is a subset of  $J(\phi) \cup \{1\}$ . Let t be any constant in  $I(\phi,-)$  not equal to one. We may assume that  $\phi$  is bounded away from zero. Put  $\lambda = t^2 - \phi$ , then  $\lambda$  is an invertible function in  $H^\infty$  since  $\operatorname{Re}(t^{-1} - \phi^{-1}) \ge 0$  a.e. and  $|\lambda| \ge (1-t)(ess \inf |\phi|) > 0$  a.e.. Thus  $I(\phi,-)$  is a subset of  $J(\phi) \cup \{1\}$ . Hence  $J(\phi) \cup \{1\} = I(\phi)$ . We shall prove (2). Let t be any constant in  $I(\phi,+)$ . Put  $\lambda = t^2 - \phi$ , then  $\lambda$  is in  $L_R^\infty(m)$  and  $\lambda \ge 0$  a.e. since  $|\phi| \le t \le t^2$  a.e.. Put  $s = \operatorname{Arg} \lambda$ , then s = 0 a.e. and hence  $|\lambda| \exp(\bar{s})$  is bounded. Thus  $I(\phi,+)$  is a subset of  $J(\phi)$ . Let t be any constant in  $I(\phi,-)$ . Since  $(ess \inf \phi, ess \sup \phi)$  does not contain zero, we have  $\phi \ge 0$  a.e. or  $\phi \le 0$  a.e.. If  $\phi \ge 0$  a.e., then  $\lambda \le 0$  a.e. since  $|\lambda| \exp(\bar{s})$  is bounded. Thus  $I(\phi,-)$  is a subset of  $I(\phi)$ . If  $\phi \le 0$  a.e., then  $\lambda \ge 0$  a.e. and hence  $|\lambda| \exp(\bar{s})$  is bounded. Thus  $I(\phi,-)$  is a subset of  $I(\phi)$ . If  $\phi \le 0$  a.e., then  $\lambda \ge 0$  a.e. and hence  $I(\phi,-)$  is a subset of  $I(\phi)$ . This completes the proof.

For a weight W,  $H^2(W)$  (resp.  $H_0^2(W)$ ) denotes the  $L^2(W)$ -norm closure of A (resp.  $A_0$ ). If W is in  $(A_2)$ , then  $T_{\phi}$  is bounded in  $H^2(W)$  and  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is bounded in  $L^2(W)$ .

**Proposition 6.** Let  $\phi$  be a function in  $L^{\infty}(m)$ . For a W in  $(A_2)$ , the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is left invertible in  $L^2(W)$ .
- (ii)  $P_+\phi P_+ + P_-$  is left invertible in  $L^2(W)$ .
- (iii)  $T_{\phi}f$  is left invertible in  $H^2(W)$ .

Proof. Put

$$\begin{split} & \varepsilon_{1} \! = \! \inf \{ \| (\phi P_{+} \! + \! P_{-}) f \|_{W} \, ; \, f \! \in \! A + \! \overline{A}_{0}, \, \| f \|_{W} \! = \! 1 \}, \\ & \varepsilon_{2} \! = \! \inf \{ \| (P_{+} \! \phi P_{+} \! + \! P_{-}) f \|_{W} \, ; \, f \! \in \! A + \! \overline{A}_{0}, \, \| f \|_{W} \! = \! 1 \}, \, \text{and} \\ & \varepsilon_{3} \! = \! \inf \{ \| T_{\phi} f \|_{W} \, ; \, f \! \in \! A, \, \| f \|_{W} \! = \! 1 \}. \end{split}$$

Suppose  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  and let f be any function in  $A + \overline{A}_0$  satisfying  $||f||_W = 1$ . Since  $P_+ \phi P_+ + P_- = (\phi P_+ + P_-)(I - P_- \phi P_+)$ ,

$$\|(P_+\phi P_+ + P_-)f\|_{w} \ge \varepsilon_1 \|(I - P_-\phi P_+)f\|_{w} \ge \varepsilon_1 \|I + P_-\phi P_+\|_{w}^{-1}$$

it follows that  $\varepsilon_2 \ge \varepsilon_1 \|I + P_-\phi P_+\|_W^{-1} > 0$ . Hence (i) implies (ii). Suppose  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  and let f be any function in A satisfying  $\|f\|_W = 1$ . Since  $\|T_\phi f\|_W \ge \|(P_+\phi P_+ + P_-)f\|_W \ge \varepsilon_2$ , we have  $\varepsilon_3 \ge \varepsilon_2 > 0$ . Hence (ii) implies (iii). Suppose  $\varepsilon_3 > 0$  and let f be any function in  $A + \overline{A}_0$  satisfying  $\|f\|_W = 1$ . Since  $\|P_+\|_W = \|P_-\|_W$  (cf.[14]).

$$\varepsilon_3 \leq \varepsilon_3 (\|P_+ f\|_W + \|P_- f\|_W) \leq \|T_\phi(P_+ f)\|_W + \varepsilon_3 \|P_- f\|_W \leq (1 + \varepsilon_3) \|P_+\|_W \|(P_+ \phi P_+ + P_-) f\|_W.$$

We have  $\varepsilon_3 \le \varepsilon_2 (1+\varepsilon_3) \|P_+\|_W$  and hence  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ . Hence (*iii*) implies (*ii*). Suppose  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  and let f be any function in  $A + \overline{A}_0$  satisfying  $\|f\|_W = 1$ . Since  $\phi P_+ + P_- = (P_+ \phi P_+ + P_-)(I + P_- \phi P_+)$ ,

$$\|(\phi P_+ + P_-)f\|_{W} \ge \varepsilon_2 \|(I + P_-\phi P_+)f\|_{W} \ge \varepsilon_2 \|I - P_-\phi P_+\|_{W}^{-1}$$

we have  $\varepsilon_1 \ge \varepsilon_2 ||I - P - \phi P_+||_W^{-1} > 0$ . Hence (ii) implies (i). This completes the proof.

**Proposition 7.** Suppose  $\phi$  is a function in  $L_R^{\infty}(m)$  such that  $\phi-1$  is bounded away from zero, and [ess inf  $\phi$ , ess sup  $\phi$ ] does not contain zero. If  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is left invertible in  $L^2(W)$ , then W is in  $(A_2)$ .

**Proof.** Since [ess inf  $\phi$ , ess sup  $\phi$ ] does not contain zero and  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is left invertible, it follows that there exists a constant  $\varepsilon$  in  $I(\phi)$  such that  $\varepsilon^2$  does not belong to [ess inf  $\phi$ , ess sup  $\phi$ ] and

$$\varepsilon \|f\|_{W} \le \|(\phi P_{+} + P_{-})f\|_{W}$$
, for all  $f$  in  $A + \overline{A}_{0}$ .

By Cotlar-Sadosky's theorem, there exists a k in  $H^1$  such that

$$|(\phi-\varepsilon^2)W-k| \leq \{(|\phi|^2-\varepsilon^2)(1-\varepsilon^2)\}^{1/2}W \leq \{1-r(\varepsilon,\phi)^2\}^{1/2}|\phi-\varepsilon^2|W \text{ a.e..}$$

Since  $\phi - \varepsilon^2$  and  $\phi - 1$  are bounded away from zero, it follows that  $r(\varepsilon, \phi)$  is bounded away from zero. Then  $\phi - \varepsilon^2 > 0$  a.e. or  $\phi - \varepsilon^2 < 0$  a.e. By Lemma A,  $|\phi - \varepsilon^2| W$  is in  $(A_2)$  and hence W is in  $(A_2)$ . This completes the proof.

Remark 2. Suppose E is a Borel subset of a unit circle. Suppose  $\ell$  is a function in  $L_R^1(m)$  such that  $\exp \ell$  is integrable, not in  $(A_2)$ ,  $-\log 2 \le \ell$  a.e. on  $E^c$ , and  $|\ell| \le \cosh^{-1}\{(1+\varepsilon^2)/(2\varepsilon)\}$  a.e. on E. For a constant  $\varepsilon$  satisfying  $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$ , put

$$R(E,\varepsilon) \! = \! \{W\,; \varepsilon \|f\|_{W} \! \leq \! \|((1-2\chi_{E})P_{+} + P_{-})f\|_{W} \! \leq \! \varepsilon^{-1} \|f\|_{W}, \text{ for all } f \text{ in } A + \overline{A}_{0}\}.$$

The following statements are then true.

- (a) If 0 < m(E) < 1,  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $W = \{(2\varepsilon)/(1-\varepsilon^2)\chi_{E^c} + \chi_E\} \exp \ell$ , then W is in  $R(E, \varepsilon)$ , not in  $(A_2)$ .
- (b) If m(E)=1, then  $(1-2\chi_E)P_++P_-=-P_++P_-$  and hence  $R(E,\varepsilon)$  is a subset of  $(A_2)$ .

In this section, we have assumed that  $\log W$  is integrable. Similar results hold on the assumption that W>0 a.e.. If  $m\{W=0\}>0$ , then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is bounded and left invertible in  $L^2(W)$ .
- (ii) W=0 a.e. on  $E(\phi)$ , and W has no restriction on  $E(\phi)^c$ .

#### § 4. INVERTIBILITY.

We shall consider the invertibility of operators  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  and  $T_\phi$  in weighted spaces. If W is in  $(A_2)$ , then  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is invertible in  $L^2(W)$  if and only if  $T_\phi$  is invertible in  $H^2(W)$ . We shall use Rochberg theorem (cf.[16]) to prove Theorem 8.

Theorem 8. Let  $\phi$  be a function in  $L^{\infty}(m)$ . For a W in  $(A_2)$ , the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  is invertible in  $L^2(W)$ .
- (ii)  $T_{\phi}$  is invertible in  $H^2(W)$ .
- (iii)  $\phi$  can be written as

$$\phi = \exp(U + ic - i\tilde{V}) \ a.e.$$

with c a real constant; U a function in  $L_R^{\infty}(m)$ ; V a real measurable function such that We<sup>V</sup> is in  $(A_2)$ .

If  $\phi$  and W satisfy one of these conditions, then

$$\|I + P_{-}\phi P_{+}\|_{W}^{-1}\|T_{\phi}^{-1}\|_{W} \leq \|(\phi P_{+} + P_{-})^{-1}\|_{W} \leq (1 + \|T_{\phi}^{-1}\|_{W})\|P_{+}\|_{W}\|I - P_{-}\phi P_{+}\|_{W}.$$

**Proof.** Rochberg [16] proved (*ii*) is equivalent to (*iii*). We shall show that (*i*) implies (*ii*). By Proposition 6, (*i*) implies that  $T_{\phi}$  is left invertible in  $H^{2}(W)$ . Let g be any function in  $L^{2}(W)$ . Since  $\phi P_{+} + P_{-}$  has a dense range in  $L^{2}(W)$ ,  $T_{\phi}P_{+} = P_{+}(\phi P_{+} + P_{-})$  on  $A + \overline{A}_{0}$ , and  $P_{+}$  is bounded in  $L^{2}(W)$ , it follows that  $T_{\phi}$  has a dense range in  $H^{2}(W)$ . We shall show that (*iii*) implies (*i*) parallel to Rochberg [16]. Let  $\phi_{1}$  be a function such that

$$\phi_1 = \exp \frac{1}{2} \{ (U + i\tilde{U}) - (V + i\tilde{V}) \}$$
 a.e.

and put  $\phi_2 = \phi/\phi_1$  then  $\phi_1$  and  $\bar{\phi}_2$  are invertible function in  $H^p$  for some p, p>1 such that  $|\phi_1|^2 = \exp(U-V)$  a.e. and  $|\phi_2|^2 = \exp(U+V)$  a.e. Define the operator R by

$$Rf = (\phi_1^{-1}P_+ + \phi_2P_-)(\phi_2^{-1}f)$$
, f is in  $A + \overline{A}_0$ .

Since  $\phi_2^{-1}f$  is in  $L^2(We^V)\cap L^p(m)$  for some constant p, p>1 we have

$$\begin{split} \|Rf\|_{W} &\leq \|\phi_{1}^{-1}P_{+}(\phi_{2}^{-1}f)\|_{W} + \|\phi_{2}P_{-}(\phi_{2}^{-1}f)\|_{W} \\ &\leq (\exp\|U\|_{\infty})^{1/2} \{\|P_{+}(\phi_{2}^{-1}f)\|_{We^{V}} + \|P_{-}(\phi_{2}^{-1}f)\|_{We^{V}} \} \\ &\leq 2(\exp\|U\|_{\infty})^{1/2} \|P_{+}\|_{We^{V}} \|\phi_{2}^{-1}f\|_{We^{V}} \\ &\leq 2(\exp\|U\|_{\infty}) \|P_{+}\|_{We^{V}} \|f\|_{W}. \end{split}$$

The third inequality holds since  $We^V$  is in  $(A_2)$ . Thus R extends to a bounded map of  $L^2(W)$  to  $L^2(W)$ . We shall show that for a function f in  $A + \overline{A}_0$ ,  $R(\phi P_+ + P_-) = (\phi P_+ + P_-)R = f$ . Since  $P_+\phi_1P_+ = \phi_1P_+$ ,  $P_-\phi_2^{-1}P_- = \phi_2^{-1}P_-$  and  $P_-\phi_1P_+ = P_+\phi_2^{-1}P_- = 0$ , we have

$$R(\phi P_{+} + P_{-})f = (\phi_{1}^{-1}P_{+} + \phi_{2}P_{-})(\phi_{2}^{-1}(\phi P_{+} + P_{-})f) = (\phi_{1}^{-1}P_{+} + \phi_{2}P_{-})((\phi_{1}P_{+} + \phi_{2}^{-1}P_{-})f) = f.$$

Since  $P_{+}\phi_{1}^{-1}P_{+} = \phi_{1}^{-1}P_{+}$ ,  $P_{-}\phi_{2}P_{-} = \phi_{2}P_{-}$ ,  $P_{-}\phi_{1}^{-1}P_{+} = P_{+}\phi_{2}P_{-} = 0$ , we have

$$(\phi P_{+} + P_{-})Rf = (\phi P_{+} + P_{-})(\phi_{1}^{-1}P_{+} + \phi_{2}P_{-})(\phi_{2}^{-1}f) = f.$$

Hence  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  has a bounded inverse, namely R. Hence (i) follows. The operator norm inequality follows from the proof of Proposition 6. This completes the proof.

For a W in  $(A_p)$ , the necessary and sufficient conditions for  $T_{\phi}$  to be invertible in  $H^p(W)$  was given by Rochberg (cf.[16]). Theorem 8 is the case p=2. It is possible to modify this theorem for p, 1 .

**Proposition 9.** For a weight W in  $(A_2)$ , either of the following two conditions imply that  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  has a dense range in  $L^2(W)$ .

- (a)  $\phi$  is an outer function in  $H^{\infty}$ .
- (b)  $\phi$  is a function in  $L_R^{\infty}(m)$  such that (ess inf  $\phi$ , ess sup  $\phi$ ) does not contain zero.

**Proof.** Since W is in  $(A_2)$ , there exists an invertible function h in  $H^2$  such that  $W = |h|^2$  a.e.. Let  $(\cdot, \cdot)_W$  denote the inner product in  $L^2(W)$ . Let g be a function in  $L^2(W)$  such that  $((\phi P_+ + P_-)f, g)_W = 0$ , for all f in  $L^2(W)$ . Since  $f_+/h$  is in  $H^2(W)$  and  $f_-/\bar{h}$  is in  $\bar{H}^2(W)$ , we have  $(\phi(f_+/h), g)_W = 0$  for all  $f_+$  in A, and  $((f_-/\bar{h}), g)_W = 0$  for all  $f_-$  in  $\bar{A}_0$ . Hence  $\phi \bar{h} \bar{g}$  is in  $H^2$  and  $\bar{h} g$  is in  $H^2$ . Put F = Wg and  $G = \bar{\chi} \bar{g} \phi W$ , then F and G are functions in  $H^1$  and hence FG belongs to  $H^{1/2}$ .

Suppose (a) holds. Since  $(\chi FG)/\phi = W^2|g|^2 \ge 0$  a.e.,  $(\chi FG)/\phi$  is a function in  $H^{1/2}$  which is real and non-negative almost everywhere. Hence there exists a constant C such that  $(\chi FG)/\phi = C$  a.e. (cf. [6], p.95). Since  $\phi$  is an outer function, C=0. Since  $\phi$  and W are non-zero functions, g=0 a.e.. Suppose (b) holds. Since  $\chi FG = \phi W^2|g|^2$  a.e. and (ess inf  $\phi$ , ess sup  $\phi$ ) does not contain zero, we have  $\chi FG \ge 0$  a.e. or  $\chi FG \le 0$  a.e.. Since  $\chi FG$  is in  $H^{1/2}$ , there exists a constant C such that  $\chi FG = C$  a.e.. Hence g=0 a.e.. This completes the proof.

**Proposition 10.** Suppose  $\phi$  is an outer function in  $H^{\infty}$  not equal to one. Let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive constant. For a weight W in  $(A_2)$ ,  $\phi P_+ + P_-$  has a dense range in  $L^2(W)$  and the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\varepsilon \|f\|_{W} \le \|(\phi P_{+} + P_{-})f\|_{W}$ , for all f in  $A + \overline{A}_{0}$ .
- (ii)  $\varepsilon \leq \min\{1, |\phi|\}$  a.e. and there exist a positive constant C and two real functions u, v such that

$$W = \frac{C}{r(\varepsilon, \phi)} \exp(u - \tilde{v}) \text{ a.e.,}$$
$$|v| \le \cos^{-1} r(\varepsilon, \phi) \text{ a.e. and } |u| \le U(\varepsilon, \phi, v) \text{ a.e..}$$

**Proof.** By Cotlar-Sadosky's theorem, it follows from (i) that there exists a k in  $H^1$  such that

$$|(\phi - \varepsilon^2) W - k|^2 \le W^2 (1 - \varepsilon^2) (|\phi|^2 - \varepsilon^2)$$
 a.e..

Put  $g = \varepsilon^2 - \phi$ , then g is in  $H^{\infty}$ . Put  $k = -\varepsilon^{-2}\phi^{-1}$ , then k and  $k^{-1}$  belong to  $H^{\infty}$ , since  $\phi$  is an outer function and  $\varepsilon \leq |\phi|$  a.e. Let s be any function in  $A(\varepsilon^2 - \phi)$ . Since Re  $kg \geq 0$  a.e. and

$$\frac{\exp(is-\hat{s})}{|\exp(is-\hat{s})|} = \frac{g}{|g|} \text{ a.e.,}$$

there exists a positive constant  $\gamma$  such that  $\exp(is-\tilde{s}) = \gamma g$  a.e. (cf.[11], Proposition 5). Hence  $\tilde{s} = -\log|\varepsilon^2 - \phi| + c$  a.e. for some real constant c. We use Lemma A to complete the proof.

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to thank Prof. T. Nakazi for many helpful conversations. This research was partly supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Arocena, R., A refinement of the Helson-Szegö theorem and the determination of the extremal measures, *Studia Math.* **71** (1981), 203–221.
- 2. Arocena, R. and Cotlar, M., A generalized Herglotz-Bochner theorem and L<sup>2</sup>-weighted inequalities

#### J. HOKKAI-GAKUEN UNIV. No.171 (March. 2017)

- with finite measures, Conference on harmonic analysis in Honor of Antoni Zygmund (Chicago, Ill, 1981), *Wadsworth Math. Ser.*, pp.258–269, Wadsworth, Belmont, 1983.
- 3. Arocena, R., Cotlar, M. and Sadosky, C., Weighted inequalities in  $L^2$  and lifting properties, *Advances in Math.* Suppl. Studies 7A, 95–128, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- 4. Cotlar, M. and Sadosky, C., On the Helson-Szegő theorem and a related class of modified Toeplitz kernels, Harmonic analysis in Euclidean spaces (Williamstown, Mass., 1978), *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* Vol.35, pp.383-407, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1979.
- 5. Cotlar, M. and Sadosky, C., Lifting properties, Nehari theorem, and Paley lacunary inequality, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **2** (1986), 55–72.
- 6. Garnett, J. B., Bounded Analytic Functions, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- 7. Helson, H. and Szegő, G., A problem in prediction theory, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 51 (1960), 107-138.
- 8. Koosis, P., Introduction to  $H^p$  spaces, London Math. Society Lecture Note Series 40. Cambridge Univ. Press, London and New York, 1980.
- 9. Koosis, P., Moyennes quadratiques pondérées de fonctions périodiques et de leurs conjuguées harmoniques, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 291 (1980), 255–257.
- 10. Mikhlin, S. G. and Prössdorf, S., *Singular integral operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1986.
- 11. Nakazi, T., Exposed points and extremal problems in H<sup>1</sup>, J. Funct. Anal. 53 (1983), 224-230.
- 12. Nakazi, T., Weighted norm inequalities and uniform algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103** (1988), 507–512.
- 13. Nakazi, T. and Yamamoto, T., A Lifting theorem and uniform algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **305** (1988), 79–94.
- 14. Nakazi, T. and Yamamoto, T., Some singular integral operators and Helson-Szegő measures, *J. Funct. Anal.* **88** (1990), 366–384.
- 15. Nikol'skii, N. K., Treatise on the shift operator, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1986.
- 16. Rochberg, R., Toeplitz operators on weighted H<sup>b</sup> spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 26 (1977), 291-298.
- 17. Yamamoto, T., On the generalization of the theorem of Helson and Szegö, *Hokkaido Math. J.* 14 (1985), 1–11.
- 18. Yamamoto, T., On weight functions and norms of some singular integral operators, *Journal of Hokkai-Gakuen University*, **121** (2004), 1–8.